

Journal of

Oral Science Rehabilitation &

Journal for periodontology, implant dentistry,
dental prosthodontics and maxillofacial surgery

ISSN 2365-6123 (Print)
ISSN 2365-6891 (Online)


Volume 3 — Issue 3/2017

dti] Dental
Tribune
International



MIS GLOBAL
CONFERENCE 2018

360° IMPLANTOLOGY NASSAU PARADISE ISLAND, BAHAMAS, 8-11.02, 2018



LEARN THE EASY WAY. MAKE IT SIMPLE

MIS is pleased and honored to invite you to the 4th MIS Global Conference: 360 IMPLANTOLOGY, in beautiful Nassau Paradise Island, Bahamas. This Global Conference will deliver an intense and unforgettable experience in every aspect: scientific, professional and personal. For registration, please contact your local MIS Representative.

mis[®]



Journal of

Oral Science Rehabilitation &

New perspectives in periapical surgery

Periapical surgery has long been performed in patients with periapical disorders. The massive introduction of dental implants in dental practice has not displaced periapical surgery, which remains the first treatment option in the case of teeth amenable to recovery and is preferable to implant placement.

A new scenario has emerged in periapical surgery with the introduction of novel retrograde filler materials, finer and more precise surgical instruments, and endoscopic and microscopic surgical field illumination and magnification. In effect, it is now possible to eliminate the periapical lesion while preserving the causal tooth, with high long-term success rates.

Despite this, however, there is a notorious lack of clinical documentation on periapical surgery presented at courses and congresses and published in scientific journals compared with the cornerstone in dental practice today: implantology.

It is worth knowing that, in many cases, teeth that are to be removed and replaced with implants could in fact be preserved. In this regard, the technical advances in periapical surgery are able to improve the quality of life of our patients and help them keep their teeth.

Dr. Miguel Peñarrocha Diago
Co-Editor

03

Editorial

Dr. Miguel Peñarrocha Diago

06

About the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*

08

Marco Tallarico et al.

Accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement using a conventional impression and scan model or digital impression: A preliminary report from a randomized controlled trial

18

Randa Harik et al.

Zero apicectomy in endodontic microsurgery

28

José Luis Calvo Guirado et al.

How can the tapered implant design influence bundle bone preservation: An experimental study in American Foxhound dogs

38

Marco Tallarico et al.

Improved fully digital workflow to rehabilitate an edentulous patient with an implant overdenture in 4 appointments: A case report

48

David French & Erta Xhanari

Thirteen-year follow-up of a cross-arch implant-supported fixed restoration in a patient with generalized aggressive periodontitis and parafunctional habits

56

Maria Peñarrocha Diago et al.

Flap design: New perspectives in periapical surgery

62

Fourth MIS Global Conference

64

Guidelines for authors

66

Imprint — about the publisher


Superior Hydrophilicity for Enhanced Osseointegration



ETIII NH IMPLANT

The Hiossen ETIII NH Implant features a super-hydrophilic Sandblasted and Acid-etched (SA) surface combined with a unique bio-absorbable apatite Nano Coating that helps ensure optimal treatment outcomes with every implant you place.

- Enhanced blood affinity and platelet adhesion
- Excellent cell response and initial stability
- 39% improvement in bone-to-implant contact
- Higher success rate in poor quality bone
- Improved osseointegration decreases treatment period by over 30%



NH Surface



Untreated Surface

Both implants were dipped in animal blood for one minute

**To learn more, visit hiossen.com
or call 888.678.0001**

HIOSSSEN
Made in the USA

About the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*

The aim of the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* is to promote rapid communication of scientific information between academia, industry and dental practitioners, thereby influencing the decision-making in clinical practice on an international level.

The *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* publishes original and high-quality research and clinical papers in the fields of periodontology, implant dentistry, prosthodontics and maxillofacial surgery. Priority is given to papers focusing on clinical techniques and with a direct impact on clinical decision-making and outcomes in the above-mentioned fields. Furthermore, book reviews, summaries and abstracts of scientific meetings are published in the journal.


Papers submitted to the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* are subject to rigorous double-blind peer review. Papers are initially screened for relevance to the scope of the journal, as well as for scientific content and quality. Once accepted, the manuscript is sent to the relevant associate editors and reviewers of the journal for peer review. It is then returned to the author for revision and thereafter submitted for copy editing. The decision of the editor-in-chief is made after the review process and is considered final.

About Dental Tribune Science

Dental Tribune Science (DT Science) is an online open-access publishing platform (www.dtscience.com) on which the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* is hosted and published.

DT Science is a project of the Dental Tribune International Publishing Group (DTI). DTI is composed of the leading dental trade publishers around the world. For more, visit

www.dental-tribune.com



Benefits of publishing in the journal for authors

There are numerous advantages of publishing in the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*:

- Accepted papers are published in print and as e-papers on www.dtscience.com.
- Authors' work is granted exposure to a wide readership, ensuring increased impact of their research through open-access publishing on www.dtscience.com.
- Authors have the opportunity to present and promote their research by way of interviews and articles published on both www.dtscience.com and www.dental-tribune.com.
- Authors can also post videos relating to their research, present a webinar and blog on www.dtscience.com.

Subscription price

€50.00 per issue, including VAT and shipping costs.

Information for subscribers

The journal is published quarterly. Each issue is published as both a print version and an e-paper on www.dtscience.com.

Terms of delivery

The subscription price includes delivery of print journals to the recipient's address. The terms of delivery are delivered at place (DAP); the recipient is responsible for any import duty or taxes.

Copyright © Dental Tribune International GmbH. Published by Dental Tribune International GmbH. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission in writing from the copyright holder.

Accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement using a conventional impression and scan model or digital impression: A preliminary report from a randomized controlled trial

Abstract

Objective

The objective of this study was to compare implant survival rate, template-related complications and virtual planning accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement using a conventional impression and scan model or digital impression to rehabilitate partially edentulous patients using flapless or miniflap procedures and immediate loading.

Materials and methods

Any partially edentulous patients requiring at least one implant, to be planned on the basis of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans using dedicated software, were enrolled in the trial. Patients were randomized according to a parallel-group design into two arms: intraoral digital impression (fully digital group) versus conventional impression and scan model (control group). Implants were to be placed flapless and loaded immediately, if inserted with a torque over 35 N cm, with reinforced provisional prostheses. Three deviation parameters (horizontal, vertical and angular) were defined and calculated between the planned and placed implant positions and analyzed statistically. Results were compared using a mixed-design repeated-measures analysis of variance model ($\alpha = 0.05$).

Results

Twelve patients were randomized to the fully digital group (6 patients with 17 implants) and control group (6 patients with 20 implants). The mean error in angle was $2.56 \pm 1.52^\circ$ (range: $0.3\text{--}5.0^\circ$) in the fully digital group and $2.18 \pm 1.41^\circ$ (range: $0.3\text{--}5.8^\circ$) in the control group ($P = 0.519$). In the horizontal plane (mesiodistal), the mean error was 0.57 ± 0.32 mm (range: $0.1\text{--}1.1$ mm) in the fully digital group and 0.43 ± 0.26 mm (range: $0.1\text{--}0.9$ mm) in the control group ($P = 0.249$). In the vertical plane (apico-coronal), the mean error was 0.67 ± 0.51 mm (range: $0.0\text{--}1.6$ mm) in the fully digital group and 0.43 ± 0.32 mm (range: $0.0\text{--}1.2$ mm) in the control group ($P = 0.180$).

Marco Tallarico,^a Erta Khanari,^b Fabio Cocchi,^c
Luigi Canullo,^d Franco Schipani^e & Silvio Mario Meloni^f

^a Aldent University, Tirana, Albania; private practice, Rome, Italy

^b Aldent University, Tirana, Albania; private practice, Tirana, Albania

^c Private practice, Modena, Italy

^d Private practice, Rome, Italy

^e Private practice, Bologna, Italy

^f Surgical, micro-surgical and medical science department, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy; private practice, Arzachena, Italy

Corresponding author:

Dr. Marco Tallarico

Via di Val Tellina, 116
00151 Rome
Italy

me@studiomarcotallarico.it

How to cite this article:

Tallarico M, Khanari E, Cocchi F, Canullo L, Schipani F, Meloni SM. Accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement using a conventional impression and scan model or digital impression: A preliminary report from a randomized controlled trial. *J Oral Science Rehabilitation*. 2017 Sep;3(3):08–16.

Conclusion

Within the limitations of the present randomized controlled trial, it was found that intraoral digital impressions may be a viable alternative to conventional impressions and scan models for the rehabilitation of partially edentulous patients using computer-guided template-assisted implant placement.

Keywords

Intraoral scanner, digital impression, guided surgery, accuracy, dental implants.

Introduction

Proper implant position has a significant impact on the esthetic and functional outcomes of implant-supported restorations.^{1,2} Therefore, the implant must be placed accurately according to the treatment plan. Computer-assisted template-based implant placement (guided surgery) has become increasingly popular owing to improved planning and the higher transfer accuracy of the virtual plan to the surgical site compared with freehand insertion or freehand final drilling.³ Hence, it has undoubtedly been a major achievement to provide optimal 3-D implant positioning with respect to both anatomical and prosthetic parameters, as well as higher patient satisfaction.⁴

A recently published meta-analysis of *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies found a total mean error of 1.12 mm at the entry point and 1.39 mm at the apex.⁵ The accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement depends on several factors, from data set acquisition to the surgical procedure. Originally, guided surgery protocols advocated a dual-scan protocol.⁶ In recent years, new technologies combining data from computed tomography (CT) or cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images with information on the soft tissue and crown morphology have been developed. Dedicated software allows for accurate virtual implant planning, always based on the prosthetic volume of the teeth to be rehabilitated and making immediate loading easier.^{4,7-9} Surgical guides may be produced by computer-aided design/computer-aided manufacture technology, such as stereolithography, manually in a dental laboratory or by high-resolution 3-D printer. Finally, irrespective of the method of manufacture, the optimal fit of the surgical template and its

stabilization are essential to accurately transfer the virtual implant position to the patient's mouth.

Digital impressions replace the need for conventional materials that can be inconvenient and messy for patients. Today, there is no doubt about the potential of recent intraoral optical impression systems available on the market as regards diagnosis and the treatment plan. Particularly noteworthy is the complete integration with other digital technologies to provide for accurate and faster patient-centered health solutions.^{10,11} Nevertheless, to the best of our knowledge, at the time of writing this article, there were no other published randomized clinical trials evaluating a fully digital approach to computer-assisted template-based implant placement.

The aim of the present study was to compare implant survival rate, template-related complications and virtual planning accuracy of computer-assisted template-based implant placement using a conventional impression and scan model or digital impression. The null hypothesis was that there would be no difference between these interventions. This trial is reported in accordance with the CONSORT Statement for improving the quality of reporting of parallel-group randomized trials.¹²

Materials and methods

This study was designed as a randomized controlled trial of parallel-group design conducted at a private center in Rome, between May 2016 and March 2017. Surgical and prosthetic procedures were performed by one expert clinician (MT). To the best of our knowledge, at the time of writing this article, there were no other similar