


Journal of

Oral Science Rehabilitation &

Journal for periodontology, implant dentistry,
dental prosthodontics and maxillofacial surgery

ISSN 2365-6891

Volume 2 — Issue 1/2016



dti] Dental
Tribune
International

Implant planning made easy

Planmeca PlanScan®

Planmeca ProMax® 3D

3x
3D

Planmeca Romexis® software offers a completely integrated and digital workflow for modern implantology. From intraoral scanning to easy prosthetic design and realistic implant libraries, the most sophisticated implant planning tools are just a few clicks away.

- All the scanned and design data for prosthetic works is immediately available and can be mapped with the patient's CBCT data
- Use crown library or patient-specific crown from CAD system
- Verify the implant plan with verification tool
- Order surgical guide directly from the software
- Share data easily with partners through **Planmeca Romexis® Cloud** image transfer service



Find more info and your local dealer

www.planmeca.com



Planmeca Oy Asentajankatu 6, 00880 Helsinki, Finland. Tel. +358 20 7795 500, fax +358 20 7795 555, sales@planmeca.com

PLANMECA

Journal of

Oral Science & Rehabilitation

The two twin souls of research

In an ideal world, research in general, and dental research in particular, would answer all of the questions a clinician would formulate in order to better treat the final beneficiary of the research itself, the patient. Our journal has been designed from the very beginning to consider foremost the patient. In order to achieve this, several groups of researchers were invited to form part of the journal board, each group being represented by a clinician, whom I would call the “clinical soul” of the group.

However, clinical protocols alone can be interpreted in many different ways, even incorrectly, if not approached with the requisite background knowledge. In order to be able to yield a scientifically meaningful answer, clinical protocols must be validated under the supervision of highly trained researchers. For this reason, all of the groups that joined the journal constitute also an “analytic soul,” in order to establish the methodology, lead the clinical study and interpret the results.

The two components of research, which I would call the two “souls of research,” are linked to one another. Underestimating the importance of one of these two components, one of these two souls, or leaving one of them out would lead to an impoverishment of the value and benefit of any research results and therefore to the established goal remaining unfulfilled.

Dr. Luigi Canullo
Associate Editor

03

Editorial

Dr. Luigi Canullo

06

About the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*

08

Luigi Canullo et al.

Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* at the internal and external implant surfaces in individuals with periimplant disease: A cross-sectional study

14

Enzo De Santis et al.

Influence of the position of implants placed immediately into extraction sockets: An experimental study in dogs

22

Valentina Borgia et al.

Clinical and histological evaluation of a flapless socket preservation procedure: A prospective single cohort study

32

José Carlos Balaguer Marti et al.

Review of the arterial vascular anatomy for implant placement in the anterior mandible

40

Ivo Agabiti & Daniele Botticelli

Transcrestal sinus floor elevation performed twice with collagen sponges and using a sonic instrument

48

Rafael Arcesio Delgado Ruiz et al.

Primary stability of dental implants with different thread geometries placed by clinicians with different clinical experience: An *in vitro* study

56

Roberto Abundo et al.

The coronally advanced flap in the treatment of bilateral multiple gingival recessions with or without tunneling the maxillary midline papilla: A randomized clinical trial

62

Alessandro Mangano et al.

Five-year esthetic evaluation of implants used to restore congenitally missing maxillary lateral incisors after orthodontic space opening treatment

72

Guidelines for authors

74

Imprint— about the publisher

Nobel Biocare Global Symposium

June 23–26, 2016 – New York

Where innovation comes to life



nobelbiocare.com/global-symposium-2016

An experience beyond the ordinary

The Nobel Biocare Global Symposium 2016 program will offer unparalleled clinical and scientific education, as well as in-depth hands-on training. Held at the Waldorf Astoria in New York, it's a unique opportunity to experience how innovation can come to life in your daily work.

Design your own learning experience

Choose from numerous lectures, forums, master classes and hands-on sessions – from over 150 of the best speakers and presenters in the world. This must-attend event will cover a vast variety of techniques and treatment solutions, from diagnosis to treatment completion. Don't miss this opportunity. Sign up now and we'll see you in New York!



About the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*

The aim of the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* is to promote rapid communication of scientific information between academia, industry and dental practitioners, thereby influencing the decision-making in clinical practice on an international level.

The *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* publishes original and high-quality research and clinical papers in the fields of periodontology, implant dentistry, prosthodontics and maxillofacial surgery. Priority is given to papers focusing on clinical techniques and with a direct impact on clinical decision-making and outcomes in the above-mentioned fields. Furthermore, book reviews, summaries and abstracts of scientific meetings are published in the journal.

Papers submitted to the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* are subject to rigorous double-blind peer review. Papers are initially screened for relevance to the scope of the journal, as well as for scientific content and quality. Once accepted, the manuscript is sent to the relevant associate editors and reviewers of the journal for peer review. It is then returned to the author for revision and thereafter submitted for copy editing. The decision of the editor-in-chief is made after the review process and is considered final.

About Dental Tribune Science

Dental Tribune Science (DT Science) is an online open-access publishing platform (www.dtscience.com) on which the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation* is hosted and published.

DT Science is a project of the Dental Tribune International Publishing Group (DTI). DTI is composed of the leading dental trade publishers around the world. For more, visit

www.dental-tribune.com



Benefits of publishing in the journal for authors

There are numerous advantages of publishing in the *Journal of Oral Science & Rehabilitation*:

- Accepted papers are published in print and as e-papers on www.dtscience.com.
- Authors' work is granted exposure to a wide readership, ensuring increased impact of their research through open-access publishing on www.dtscience.com.
- Authors have the opportunity to present and promote their research by way of interviews and articles published on both www.dtscience.com and www.dental-tribune.com.
- Authors can also post videos relating to their research, present a webinar and blog on www.dtscience.com.

Subscription price

€50.00 per issue, including VAT and shipping costs

Information for subscribers

The journal is published quarterly. Each issue is published as both a print version and an e-paper on www.dtscience.com.

Terms of delivery

The subscription price includes delivery of print journals to the recipient's address. The terms of delivery are delivered at place (DAP); the recipient is responsible for any import duty or taxes.

Copyright © 2016 Dental Tribune International GmbH. Published by Dental Tribune International GmbH. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior permission in writing from the copyright holder.

Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* at the internal and external implant surfaces in individuals with periimplant disease: A cross-sectional study

Abstract

Objective

The objective of this study was to investigate the prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) at internal and external dental implant surfaces in patients with periimplant disease.

Materials and methods

Samples for microbiological analysis were obtained from four types of sites in the following order: (1) the periimplant sulcular fluid (PISF) of each implant; (2) the gingival sulcus (GS) of the adjacent teeth; (3) the implant–abutment connection and abutment inner portions (IIP) of each implant; and (4) the oropharyngeal complex (OF)—oral, tongue and pharynx swabs were also collected.

Quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction assays were carried out for total bacterial counts. The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to compare the *S. aureus* levels at the various sites.

Results

Mean bacterial counts of *S. aureus* were as follows: GS = 5.02×10^2 ; PISF = 0, IIP = 0 and OF = 0. A positive value was found for one out of the 35 sites for each group, but under the limit of quantification. For GS, one out of the 35 sites presented with a total bacterial count of 2.11×10^4 . No statistically significant differences were found among groups regarding site location ($p = 0.40$).

Conclusion

Within the limits of this study, *S. aureus* could not be quantified in the PISF and inside the IIP affected by periimplantitis.

Keywords

Periimplantitis, periimplant disease, microbiological analysis, opportunistic pathogens, implant connection, *S. aureus*.

Luigi Canullo,*
Paulo Henrique Orlato Rossetti,†
Marco Tallarico*
& David Peñarrocha Oltra‡

*Independent researcher, Rome, Italy

†Independent researcher, Bauru, Brazil

‡Oral Surgery Unit, Department of Stomatology,
Faculty of Medicine and Odontology,
University of Valencia, Valencia, Spain

Corresponding author:

Dr. Luigi Canullo

Via Nizza 46
00198 Rome
Italy

T +39 347 620 1976

F +39 06 841 1980

luigicanullo@yahoo.com

How to cite this article:

Canullo L, Orlato Rossetti PH, Tallarico M, Peñarrocha Oltra D. Identification of *Staphylococcus aureus* at the internal and external implant surfaces in individuals with periimplant disease: a cross-sectional study.

J Oral Science Rehabilitation.
2016 Mar;2(1):8–13.

Introduction

Dental implantology is a central part of modern dentistry concerned with the replacement of missing teeth in various clinical situations. In the past 30 years, the materials and methods of implant dentistry have undergone a substantial process of development and evolution. Implant surface, macrodesign and type of implant–abutment connection have been found to be of major relevance to initial healing and long-term stability.^{1–3} Since the number of implants placed has increased in the last ten years, optimal maintenance has become increasingly important.^{4,5} While in many cases, it has been reported that dental implants are a safe and predictable treatment method with high survival rates, they are not immune from biological and iatrogenic complications associated with improper treatment planning, surgical and prosthetic execution, or material failure, as well as maintenance problems.⁵ Also, the biological complications of periimplant mucositis and periimplantitis, which may result in soft- and hard-tissue defects, have been suggested to be relevant for later marginal bone loss.⁶

Several approaches have been followed in seeking to understand the pathomechanism of periimplantitis. According to a consensus conference of the American Academy of Periodontology, bacterial colonization of the implant surface and the occurrence of bone loss indicate the point of no return in periimplantitis.⁷ Periimplantitis is characterized by an inflammatory process around an implant that includes both soft-tissue inflammation and progressive loss of periimplant supporting bone. Periimplantitis occurs primarily as a result of overwhelming periodontal insult and subsequent immune response.⁷ The connection to periodontitis as an infectious disease with comparable symptoms and outcomes suggests that investigating the associated local bacteria is fundamental to establishing the pathomechanism of periimplantitis.

The implant surface may be colonized with different pathogens other than periodontal bacteria.⁸ According to Albertini et al., opportunistic pathogens such as *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus* (*S. aureus*) and *Candida albicans* may be associated with implant failure.⁹

As suggested in an American Academy of Periodontology report, secondary diagnostic measures, that is, bacterial culturing, inflammatory markers and genetic factors, may be useful

in the diagnosis of periimplant disease.⁷ According to Canullo et al., bacterial agglomerates around dental implants and their prosthodontic adjacent structures have been identified.¹⁰ These results suggested that all of the connections were contaminated after five years of functional loading; thus, the implant–abutment connection design might influence bacterial activity levels qualitatively and quantitatively, especially inside the implant connection.¹⁰ Furthermore, Cosyn et al. found that intracoronal compartments of screw-retained fixed restorations were heavily contaminated.¹¹ Further investigations have shown that the restorative margin is the principal pathway for bacterial leakage and contamination of abutment screws, and bacteria most likely pass from the periimplant sulcus through the implant–abutment and abutment–prosthesis interfaces.¹⁰

With the aim of identifying the pathogens that contribute toward the development of periimplantitis defects, different working groups have reported a cluster of bacteria, including *Treponema forsythia* and *S. aureus*, associated with periimplant disease.¹²

The presence of *S. aureus* as an opportunistic pathogen in the early stage of active periimplantitis in patients has also been confirmed by Mombelli and Décaillot.¹³ In addition, Salvi et al. reported that detection or lack of *S. aureus* at implant sites at 12 weeks resulted in the highest positive (i.e., 80%) and negative (i.e., 90%) predictive values for the incidence of periimplantitis, respectively.¹⁴ Moreover, Canullo et al. showed that *S. aureus* is present on the external and internal abutment surfaces if these are not cleaned before screwing.¹⁵

The aim of the present study is to investigate the prevalence of *S. aureus* in the oral cavity of patients with active periimplantitis. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology guidelines.¹⁶

Materials & methods

Study design

This cross-sectional study evaluated data collected from 51 consecutive, partially edentulous patients of both sexes, aged 18 or older (mean age of 54.2), who had been treated with a single implant-supported, cemented or screw-retained restoration functionally loaded for at least 12