Vol. 13 • Issue 1/2012

international magazine of Oral implandingy

2012

overview

The most important years in implantology

case report

Immediate functional loading of the edentulous mandible

case study

The same-day tooth: From the diagnosis to the final restoration





4TH INTERNATIONAL CANLOG CONGRESS MAY 3RD-5TH, 2012 LUCERNE, SWITZERLAND





FEEL THE PULSE OF SCIENCE IN THE HEART OF SWITZERLAND

- "State of the art" in implant dentistry
- Internationally renowned scientific committee, well-known speakers
- First-class workshops
- Lucerne world-famous congress venue
- Groovy party "Let's rock the Alps!"
- Attractive partner programs in Lucerne and surroundings
- Excellent price-performance ratio

SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE

Prof Jürgen Becker, Prof Fernando Guerra, Prof Frank Schwarz, Prof Thomas Taylor, Prof Hendrik Terheyden, Prof Georg Watzek, Prof Axel Zöllner



camlogfoundation

Extreme points of view



Dr Georg Bach

_Extreme points of view tamper with their seemingly convincing advantage of making hard facts appear as simple, clear and understandable pieces of information. Extreme points of view, however, also have one distinct disadvantage: They are usually simply wrong.

Currently, oral implantology is certainly undergoing a comprehensive process of change. The "implantological knowledge" of past decades has been turned upside down by the rush of developments and innovations of the past few years. Nothing seems to be way it once used to be. In the light of tremendous changes such as the following, falling for extreme points of view is a seemingly obvious response:

Not only do whole treatment philosophies clash, but there is also a gaping conflict between the generations. Naturally, younger implantologists are attracted by new, digital opportunities introduced to our discipline, whereas older colleagues tend to rely on proven and conventional methods and usually focus on surgical solutions. Now, if we decided to name the former "computer game implantology" and the latter "medieval implantology" as a consequence, of course we would react in an extreme way in both of the two cases and, more importantly, we would also be wrong.

Instead, we should seek to balance our points of view to find answers to the most pressing issues of our discipline. In this tune, finding a common language which can satisfy both of these positions would undoubtedly be helpful. A possible impetus for starting the quest for a common language is provided by the initiative "Quality-driven implantology" by the German Society for Dental Implantology (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Zahnärztliche Implantologie, DGZI). It was launched only this year and will be the common theme for all future activities of the DGZI, including this issue of implants, the recently relaunched DGZI curriculum and our annual DGZI conference in the fall.

Today I should also like to mention that we will meet for our annual conference in the Hanseatic City of Hamburg on 5 and 6 October, 2012. In this city of rich tradition, the DGZI, the oldest professional society for implantology in Europe, will contribute to finding answers to the questions resulting from the recent changes in our discipline—from your points of view.

With best regards,

D. Georg Bach





editorial

03 Extreme points of view | Dr Georg Bach

overview

06 The most important years in implantology | Dr Georg Bach

case report

- 14 **Consideration of an uncommon approach** in the atrophied posterior zone | Dr Maen Aburas et al.
- 18 Immediate functional loading of the edentulous mandible
 Dr Suheil M. Boutros

case study

- New concepts in computer-guided implantology—Part II
 Dr Gian Luigi Telara
- 28 The same-day tooth:From the diagnosis to the final restorationDr Stefanos G. Kourtis

industry report

34 Minimally invasive crown lengthening as an alternative to implant treatment
 Prof Marcel Wainwright

education

- 38 Annual award for the advancement of dental research and development
 Im Center
- Promotion of knowledge and international exchange at 20 ITI Scholarship Centers
 Im Center

meeting

- 40 Mastering Tissue Response Successfully
- 41 International events 2012

news

- 42 Manufacturer News
- 48 News

about the publisher

50 | imprint



Cover image "The Champions[®] implant system — Quality made in Germany" courtesy of Champions Implants, www.champions-implants.com





Smaller and stronger.



It's called NobelActive 3.0. This unique implant is the ideal solution for narrow spaces in the anterior region. The drilling procedure is designed to retain as much bone as possible, while the implant body and thread design condenses bone during insertion enhancing initial stability. The sharp apex and cutting blades enable you to adjust the implant position for optimal restorative orien-

tation. Together with the strong sealed connection and built-in platform shifting, NobelActive 3.0 allows you to safely produce excellent esthetic results. After 45 years as a dental innovator we have the experience to bring you future-proof and reliable technologies for effective patient treatment. **Their smile, your skill, our solutions.**



Visit nobelbiocare.com/active3



© Nobel Biocare Services AG, 2011. All rights reserved. Nobel Biocare, the Nobel Biocare logotype and all other trademarks are, if nothing else is stated or is evident from the context in a certain case, trademarks of Nobel Biocare. Disclaimer: Some products may not be regulatory cleared/released for sale in all markets. Please contact the local Nobel Biocare sales office for current product assortment and availability.

The most important years in implantology A very personal retrospect

Author_Dr Georg Bach, Germany

implants

_Introduction

It all started with an inquiry from a well-known professional journal of implantology asking for a contribution to acknowledge their having been in business for 15 years. Then there was the incidental telephone call by an academic teacher who had accompanied and supported me in my first steps in implantology. When I asked him about the upcoming publication project, I received a both spontaneous and surprising reply, "The last 15 years-those were the most important years in implantology"! This from a renowned university professor who was instrumental in establishing implantology-I was impressed. Later on I had to ask myself, "Is this really true?" The result of my tracing this development is this article-a personal retrospective.

_Phases of implantology

If one considers oral implantology with regard to its major developments, three phases are evident: (i) the empirical and experimental phase; (ii) the arrival of implantology in universities and science; (iii) the mass phenomenon of implantology. I would like to add that this is a rough and probably superficial division to some extent. Please, however, allow me to apply it within the scope of this personal-and not exhaustive-review.

Looking back at these past fifteen years, I will barely touch on phase II, but will discuss phase III fully. This entails different directions and priority areas that colleagues working in implantology experienced. When I browsed through implantology textbooks and journals from this period, I realised even more that implantology had undergone considerable change in this relatively short period of 15 years. I would like to recount my highlights of implantology from this period in the following paragraphs.

_Farewell to the tristesse of papers

A seemingly minor issue to start with: the variety and quality of dentistry-specific print media and of digital media, particularly print layout, has developed substantially during the past 15 years. This holds true not only for implantology, but also for dentistry as a whole. The appearance of some professional journals up until the mid-1990s was reminiscent of an official legal amendment, but amazing things have happened since. The quality of colour printing (which is the norm now, but used to be subject to a surcharge for authors who wanted to include colour images), the accuracy of images, the paper—all of these make for a high





quality appearance and leave a lasting impression on the reader. This has clearly been an advantage also for implantology because now highly complex correlations can be more easily conveyed and "sometimes a picture is worth a thousand words". Ideally, e-learning and electronic professional journals supplement the current training needs of the younger generation of dentists especially.

_The end of dogmas

While implantology was marked by many dogmas from its beginning and the mid-1990s, this had changed at the time when our 15-year observation period begins. However, implantology was later called into question in its entirety. Whether it was healing times, waiting times after augmentation or prosthetic concepts—everything underwent scrutiny. On the one hand, some of these dogmas did in fact prove to be no longer sustainable because of remarkable developments, especially improvements in implant surfaces. On the other hand, the mark was at times overshot in the elimination of other dogmas, creating the need to back-track. This was a painful experience for both patients and implantologists.

One dogma that we encountered in the observation period was that of a strict refusal of immediate implant placement. There is general consensus today, however, that under suitable conditions an immediate implant placement can be a high quality and sustainable alternative to established procedures. One clinical case shows an immediate implant placement in the maxillary anterior teeth: the extraction and the immediate implant placement of a maxillary anterior tooth that was not worth preserving under the guidance of a drilling template and implant position (Fig. 1), transfer into the oral cavity (Fig. 2), and the condition immediately after insertion of the implant crown (Fig. 3).

_The prospering of the implant market

A welcome variety of new implants, implant forms and prosthetic options has become a reality in the past 15 years. Special implants were developed for special indications so that now even a mandibular molar can be replaced by a corresponding sized implant, followed by insertion of a corresponding sized implant crown. Figures 4 to 7 show the clinical and dental appearance of these in a patient. Implantologists who placed several hundred implants annually were considered the big players on the implant market in the 1990s. Achieving the mark of 100,000 implants placed per year in Germany signified that the peak had been reached. This was not the case, since the one-million mark was also reached within the scope of a rapid, almost unimpeded development. While the increase has been slower in recent years and global economic developments even caused a brief decline, today we can assume that the implant market will continue to grow. The maximum growth phase falls into our observed period.

_Development in the eyes of implant manufacturers

From manufacturer to global player-this would be an accurate description of the development of





implants



some implant manufacturers. The development of some of these companies over the past 15 years, the size of their companies and the number of their employees today are indeed impressive. And these prosperous companies share other characteristics as well: the acquisition of products and entire firms in order to expand or supplement their product portfolio and their pressing on to the field of digital dentistry (CAD/CAM, planning, etc.), into which these global players invest large sums of money. Revenues must be generated so that these investments can be made and they are still made, albeit declining owing to the economic crisis.

Still, the implant market is booming. Although the consistently two-digit annual growth rates some implant manufacturers had started to become used to have become more moderate today, a great deal of money can be made with implants. As a result, an ever-increasing number of implant suppliers and systems make it impossible for the individual user to keep track. Aside from new systems, an increasing number of generics are being launched on the market.

_Focus on red-white aesthetics

The President of the German Society for Dental Implantology (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Zahnärztliche Implantologie), Prof Frank Palm, aptly remarked, "What was celebrated as a triumph for some colleagues 20 years ago is today taken to court." Dentists who practised implantology were not prepared to find themselves confronted with a debate that had spread from North America to Europe: that of red-white aesthetics. This new focus on achieving the highest possible aesthetics for implant-prosthetic treatments was linked to implantology and distanced itself from surgery, which had been dominant up until that time.

In the early phase of implantology, the main focus was on safe placement and the best possible placement in the bone, sometimes even at the expense of subsequent prosthesis treatment owing to unfavourable placement of the artificial abutment teeth. Now, however, prosthetic standards and issues have become the centre of the discussion. Placement techniques were modified and new techniques were established in order to satisfy these requirements. Patients no longer, or only occasionally, accept demanding and complex cases like the following case.

Both implants in the anterior maxillary region were placed too far buccally, and there was a gap of 5.5 mm between the implant shoulder and the cementoenamel junction of the adjacent teeth (Figs. 8–10). Treatment with a long-term temporary restoration would only have yielded an unsatisfactory aesthetic result. However, under certain surgical and dental conditions—as shown in our second example—superior results and stability for a period of ten years can be achieved even with challenging initial situations. In 1999, an immediate implant was placed in region 12. The following images show the steps of treatment (Figs. 11–13). The last image shows the condition after ten years (Fig. 14).

This development was made possible mainly by massive improvements in the area of augmentations,



which can now be performed with significantly higher predictability. This development was further enhanced by a considerable improvement in the training of implantologists. These improvements are significant for both undergraduate study and post-graduate training. Thus, the universities and professional associations who have contributed immensely in this area deserve much credit in this respect.

_The battle of healing times

It was but an episode, yet one that caused an incredible furor at the time: the debate about shortened healing times. Stimulated by a media hype in which the specialised press only played second fiddle and the lay press appeared to be in the lead, the healing times of some implant manufacturers were inflated. Values were corrected downwards almost on a daily basis. Some manufacturers went along with it, while others remained firm. Some participants felt they needed to be at the forefront, others stayed out of it. A short but remarkable ascent was followed by a rapid crash.

A personal highlight for me was an article in a tabloid newspaper that said, "Extraction in the morning; directly followed by augmentation and implantation; a firmly seated supra-construction implemented at lunch time, and then endless servings of spare ribs"! As can be seen from this euphoric statement, some got carried away, while others had to painfully back-track. What remains is the realisation that, owing to improved surfaces and other conditions, the long healing times recommended in the early phase of implantology can in fact be reduced considerably, but not at any cost.

_New options for improving the implant site

The afore-mentioned dominance of prosthetic implantology was only possible because many new and safer augmentation procedures were established during the observation period, enabling dentists to design the osseous bed for the implant as desired. Revolutionary augmentation procedures in the area of the maxillary posterior teeth, which had been the focus of discussion in the first year of the period in question, constituted another important approach for real progress.

Thanks to surgical techniques for sinus lifts, which underwent an incredible number of modifications also with regard to less invasive procedures, it was possible to treat areas of the jaw that had previously been considered impossible or that could only be restored for implantation by way of highly invasive orthodontic procedures. While initial sinus-lift procedures were generally reserved for highly specialised centres, they have now become common knowledge in implantology and are offered and performed extensively.

_Establishing virtual implantology

It seems easy to figure out what the old-school fraction must have thought about the new planning and placement options for oral implants. This fraction had already had



SKY GFANUL

easy-graft [®] CRYSTAL

Ingenious: Simple handling and accelerated osteoconduction for long-term volume preservation.

SUNSTAR

Degradable Solutions AG A Company of the Sunstar Group Wagistrasse 23 CH-8952 Schlieren / Zurich www.easy-graft.com